

Has the EU lost Turkey for the West?

In displaying cynicism and dishonesty in dealing with Turkey's EU ambitions Ministers have increased the very dangers that EU membership was supposed to insure against

Even those who do not think all that highly of the European Union - British Conservatives and US foreign policy experts, for example - have thrown their weight behind the idea of Turkish entry to the EU. They have done so in the belief that EU membership would strengthen the democratic elements in this huge strategically important Muslim country and thus help anchor Turkey to the West. It does not seem to be a very good example of straight thinking.

Acute Tensions

To respond to uncertainties about Turkey's future by hitching it to a political project whose future is itself uncertain was bound to involve risks. Could the EU stand the acute social tensions resulting from the movement of large numbers of immigrants from such a large Muslim state, one which on present projections is on track to overtake Germany in terms of population? Might not the changes Turkey would have to undertake in order to meet membership criteria have a destabilising impact on Turkish society? And supposing Turkey were to find itself in the humiliating position of finally being turned down, having had the prize of membership dangled before it for so long, wouldn't that inflame the very dangers that membership was supposed to guard against?

It would appear that this latter question is now in the process of being

answered. It has been clear for some time that opinion in France and Germany has hardened against Turkish membership and that Turkey feels increasingly humiliated by the hoops through which it is expected to leap in order to demonstrate its worthiness. Meanwhile other applicants have jumped the queue.

On the 8th November the EU Commission published a report on Turkey's progress in meeting accession criteria and a general enlargement report which covers Ankara's accession bid. These contain stinging criticisms of Turkey and, while acknowledging progress in some areas, make it clear that it must introduce further reforms before accession can take place.

Considerable Change

Even before the publication of these documents opinion polls had suggested that Turkish attitudes towards the EU were undergoing a considerable change. On the 4th November the International Strategic Research Organisation, an independent think-tank published a survey which showed that more than two thirds of Turks are in favour of breaking off the accession talks which have gone on since 2005 if the EU maintained its demands that Ankara must give ground in the current trade row over Cyprus. The EU says that Turkey has failed to open its air and sea ports to Cyprus, an EU member it does not recognise. Turkey

says it will refuse to do so until the EU delivers on promises to ease the isolation of Turkish Northern Cyprus. According to the survey 74 per cent say they believe opening the ports would make no difference to relations with Brussels.

Public Support

Strong public support for the Turkish government's position suggests that Turkey is no longer interested in making further concessions in order to achieve membership of the European Union. The poll clearly confirms the downward trend in Turkey's once-high enthusiasm for the EU: only 50 per cent say they are now in favour of joining, down from 75 per cent two years ago, while 45 per cent say they were opposed.

Eighty one per cent say that they believe that the EU is not treating Turkey "sincerely and fairly", compared to two per cent who say it is. Asked which country most hampered Turkey's accession 39 per cent say France.

Most Turks believe that their country demonstrated their loyalty to the West during the Cold War through their membership of NATO and their willingness to allow US nuclear weapons to be based on their soil - something which some Western European countries were either unwilling or reluctant to do. Since the collapse of the Soviet Union they have

Continued on P.2

EU immigration 'could result in new crime wave'

A leaked Government document adds to fears about the implications of EU immigration

Eighty per cent of all cashpoint crime in the country is carried out by Romanian gangs, according to a leaked Cabinet memo published in the *Sun*, while a report from Westminster Council suggest that in the worst parts of London a tenth of crime is committed by eastern European immigrants.

The Whitehall memorandum, which is reported to have been seen by the Prime Minister and the Home Secretary, warns that the criminals will be joined by a new wave of recruits after Romania and Bulgaria join the EU in January. Compiled by Liam Byrne the Immigration Minister and EU Minister Geoff Hoon the document states: "The police have completed assessments of the potential threat of A2 (immigrants from Romania and Bulgaria) on all levels of UK crime".

"There is concern that free movement will encourage people from Bulgaria and Romania to come to the UK, some of whom may be drawn towards organised criminal activities well-established in the UK."

Government spokesmen have refused

to comment on the memo - leaked to the *Sun* on the 31st October - but not denied its authenticity.

The memorandum warns that:

■ Cashpoint crime: "80-85 per cent of this nationally can be attributed to Romanian organised crime groups."

■ Street violence: "Aggressive begging, pick-pocketing and bag and mobile phone theft are particular activities which are cause for concern."

■ People and sex trafficking: "There is the possibility of an increase in A2 women trafficked into the vice trade."

■ Fraud: "Problems include trafficking of counterfeit cigarettes, VAT fraud."

■ Forgery and deception: "With accession, Bulgarian and Romanian travel documents will be increasingly targeted for fraudulent use."

In a subsequent issue the *Sun* reported that one Romanian gang took more than £640,000 from ATM machines

before they were caught in the act by police in London.

Statistics published by Westminster City Council - which is in the front line of dealing with hundreds of thousands of people arriving by coach from eastern Europe - show that in one police division a tenth of arrests in the year to June 2006 - 400 out of 4,000 - came from the eight central and east European countries that joined in 2004.

The report warns that "social unrest and anti-social behaviour has massively increased in the Victoria area and crimes, particularly shop-lifting, have increased".

A8 immigrants are "over-represented" in arrests for shop-lifting, drunkenness, prostitution and burglary.

The true figures could be far higher, the report claims, as "some larger stores no longer call the police to A8 shoplifters" preferring to use their own security guards to deal with the problem. The Council also says that half of all rough sleepers in Central London are A8 nationals - mostly Polish men - whose dream of the good life in Britain has turned sour.

'Feckless Poles' head for Britain

"Feckless Poles" have turned Britain into their number one destination, the Polish president declared during a press conference with Tony Blair at Number 10. Lech Kaczynski said it

had become the "destination of choice" for homeless and jobless Poles and claimed that many of his countrymen were still claiming benefits while holding down jobs in Britain. Mr Blair

said the vast majority of the Poles who have come to Britain were working hard.

(Source *Daily Telegraph*, 8th November 2006)

Continued from P.1

Has the EU lost Turkey for the West?

expected their political aspirations to be treated with at least the same respect as those of the former members of the Soviet-bloc. An increasing number of Turks now realise that their ambitions are unlikely to be realised and that the EU has behaved dishonestly and cynically.

The consequences of such a major shift in Turkish public opinion may be profound and are likely to present a major test of Turkey's political leadership. It is one which is likely to

damage pro-western forces in Turkey and to be exploited by radical Islamic groups.

In their frequent criticisms of US foreign policy EU ministers and officials have frequently pointed to America's inability to deploy "soft power" effectively in order to achieve Western goals; this has been a constant refrain of ex-Commissioner Patten who has suggested that the Europeans can do that kind of thing so much better than those crude Americans. Yet

here was an example where EU ministers could have used the considerable "soft power" at their disposal to reach an understanding with Turkey that fully reflected political, demographic and economic realities. Instead the EU has made promises it could not keep in order to protect Europe from dangers which loom even larger as the result of its cynicism, dishonesty and incompetence.

Who really runs the EU? Top eurocrats target Commissioner who gave the game away

Whitehall's mandarins may be devious and self-seeking but their Brussels equivalents are all those things and also vindictive and ruthless

Previous issues of *eurofacts* have pointed out the ways in which the EU has created a new political and social class with interests quite distinct from those its members are supposed to uphold. This consists of senior EU officials and their families who enjoy a remarkable range of perks, and privileges as well as important legal immunities. Not to mention the sense of power which they presumably derive from drafting the laws for an embryonic state of 450 million people.

Whatever mistakes the members of this breed may have made in the course of their duties they have been able to avoid public criticism by virtue of their virtual anonymity and lack of accountability. Their possession of such remarkable privileges, however, has clearly produced an overweening arrogance.

This recently manifested itself when for the first time in the recollection of observers an EU Commissioner chose to criticise senior EU officials, confirming in the process what many have all along suspected - that in many instances the EU is being run by senior officials rather than by the Commissioners nominated by member states.

"Developments in the past decade have given officials so much power that the most important political task of the 25 commissioners is to control the [bureaucratic] apparatus", the EU Industry Commissioner Gunter Verheugen told the German daily

Sueddeutsche Zeitung.

Mr Verheugen said that unless Commissioners took care to resolve all outstanding issues at their weekly meetings officials would take matters over and make the decisions for them.

"My theory is that too much is decided by officials", he said, also blaming the power of officials for excessive red tape. He described their reports as "technical, arrogant and condescending" and held officials responsible for obstructing stated Commission goals such as deregulation.

Storm of Protest

Verheugen's remarks created a storm of protest with senior officials demanding and getting a meeting with the EU President José Manuel Barroso

A few days after Mr Verheugen's remarks appeared the Lithuanian and German press carried pictures of Mr Verheugen with Petra Erler, the head of his cabinet office, which had mysteriously come into their possession. The photos showed the two holding hands while holidaying together.

Mr Verheugen's remarks about EU officials had found little public support from his Commission colleagues but after President Barroso refused to criticise Mr Verheugen for his relationship with Miss Erler, it was assumed that the matter would die down. A warning shot had been fired

and Mr Verheugen had been embarrassed by the revelations about his personal life. The Brussels bureaucracy is not of a forgiving nature, however.

On the 27th October the German daily *FT Deutschland* reported that the organisation representing senior EU officials had called on the 24 other Commissioners to "clearly and publicly distance themselves" from Mr Verheugen's statements.

They also called for a meeting with the College of Commissioners in order to "restore trust between the Commission and its personnel".

But the eurocrats are not just out to humiliate Mr Verheugen, they want him sacked despite the fact that he took care not to identify any EU official by name. Their letter to his fellow Commissioners continued: *"...the question has to be raised as to whether Mr Verheugen still has a place in the College of Commissioners"*.

The message has gone out: anyone who criticises the new breed of unaccountable Brussels eurocrats will be isolated and, if necessary, destroyed.

The Sir Humphry of Whitehall legend may be wily, devious, self-seeking and not overly concerned about the public interest. His Brussels equivalent is all of those things - but he is also arrogant, vindictive, ruthless and will not tolerate criticism of any kind. And he is considerably more powerful.

Germany's Constitution plans unchanged

The ambition of the German Chancellor Angela Merkel to relaunch an almost unchanged EU Constitution during the German EU Presidency which begins on the 1st January 2007 has clearly been tempered by recent experience including a visit to the Netherlands. But it is clear that it is merely her timetable that has changed, not her objective. In a wide-ranging interview with *Sueddeutsche Zeitung* on the 6th

November she says: *"No one expects the treaty to be ready by the end of the German presidency, but it is clear that we must have something to present by the time the next European elections come around... We must square the circle. Eighteen states will soon have ratified the treaty, they're fighting for it, and the referendums were not directed against the treaty per se"*.

Mrs Merkel's remarks on European defence are also revealing.

"I do not want to go so far as to demand a European army..." she says. "Why not?" interrupts the interviewer. She says: *"...We are going in that direction already. Five years ago there was not a single EU mission. Now there have been a dozen. That creates great pressure for harmonisation"*.

(Source: *Open Europe* Press Briefing 6th November)

EFTA and Anglosphere outstrip EU in prosperity

Europhiles customarily dismiss the idea that Britain might be better off in EFTA as impractical and even absurd. The figures, compiled by Ian Milne of Global Britain published below tell a different story. These show that measured in per capita terms prosperity is 86 per cent higher in the EFTA countries than in the EU-25 (Table 3). The table also shows that NAFTA GDP/capita is 15 per cent higher than the EU-25.

We also publish 2005 prosperity rankings for the EU-15 (Table 1) These show that if Luxembourg is excluded Ireland is the EU 25 richest nations and that it is now 30 per cent better off than Britain which is in eighth position - down from fifth in 2004.

Table 2 ranks the Anglosphere countries in terms of prosperity. The weighted average for the 'Anglo-5' is \$4,090. This compares with \$32,741 for the EU-15, \$9,241 for the EU-10 and \$28,951 for the EU-25.

Table 1: 2005 Prosperity Rankings: EU-15

Rank	Country	GDP /capita (\$)	GDP (\$ billion)	Population (million)
1	Luxembourg	73,915	34	0.5
2	Ireland	47,311	196	4.2
3	Denmark	46,955	254	5.4
4	Sweden	39,229	354	9.0
5	Austria	37,088	305	8.2
6	Finland	36,831	193	5.2
7	Netherlands	36,438	595	16.3
8	UK	36,427	2,193	60.2
9	Belgium	34,858	365	10.5
10	France	34,737	2,110	60.7
11	Germany	33,727	2,782	82.5
12	Italy	29,980	1,723	57.5
13	Spain	25,905	1,124	43.4
14	Greece	19,271	214	11.1
15	Portugal	16,395	173	10.6

Table 2: 2005: Anglo-area "Five" ranked by GDP/capita

1	USA	42,007	12,455	296.5
2	UK	36,427	2,193	60.2
3	Australia	34,496	701	20.3
4	Canada	34,551	1,115	32.3
5	New Zealand	26,531	109	4.1

Table 3: 2005: NAFTA, EFTA, EU-25 and Japan

Country	GDP /capita	GDP \$ billion	Population Million
USA	42,007	12,455	296.5
Canada	34,551	1,115	32.3
Mexico	7,449	768	103.1
NAFTA	33,197	14,338	431.9
Norway	61,498	284	4.6
Switzerland	49,187	366	7.4
Iceland	50,969	15	0.3
EFTA	53,820	665	12.4
Japan	35,215	4,506	128.0
EU-25	28,951	13,300	459.4

The 'tortured and combustible' history of the UK Independence Party

By Marion Hiscock

This book traces the origins of the UK Independence Party all the way back to 1988 and the famous Bruges speech by Margaret Thatcher on 20th September 1988. The book's thesis is that, from the Bruges speech came the Bruges Group, of which Alan Sked was a founding member and then, from the Bruges Group came the Anti-Federalist League. The League then changed its name to the UK Independence Party.

The book is slightly more than just a straight history of UKIP, as it also discusses, in an interlude between an account of the Bruges speech and what might be considered the true beginning of the book's story, post-war events relating to Britain's EEC/EU membership from 1950 onwards. It looks at how Harold Macmillan 'agonised for Britain' when thinking about the future, as Hugo Young put it in his pro-EU account of Britain and the EU. The notorious episode of secret CIA financing of the European Movement in the 1950s and 1960s, a story only now beginning to emerge, is also examined.

Getting into its stride, the author

Hard Pounding: The Story of the UK Independence Party

By Dr Peter Gardner

June Press Oct 2006
Pbk 319 pp

Available from *The June Press*
Price £9.99 + p&p
(see back cover)

ISBN 0-9534-6974-3

continues with a simple chronological history of the Anti-Federalist League and the UK Independence Party. And, as the author candidly admits in his introduction, it has been a "tortured and combustible" progress, something which others in the eurorealist movement may be sorry for, in some cases not. Two of the chapters are entitled "Internal Conflict And Recovery" and one could almost suggest that this should be a chorus! Still, the text sheds light on the people

and events involved and shows the enormous efforts that were required to build UKIP to its present position even if it remains a huge distance from achieving its stated goal. What a pity there were so many casualties along the way!

Fortunately, the text is not dry or academic: there is abundant use of personal pronouns and the occasional personal anecdote brings a human feel to the work. Some of the action takes place in Caracas, Warsaw, London and Berlin - just to show that eurorealists are not Little Englanders. The inclusion of a foreword by Nigel Farage conveys the impression that within UKIP the book is regarded as a kind of semi-official history.

In a short postscript, the author discusses the French and Dutch "no" votes on the EU Constitution and warns that, although the general public may think its all over, now that the euro is in the long grass and the Constitution is apparently dead, that is not the case. Instead, the author says "the fight is not over yet" and we will need to be ready when the time comes.

Stop duplicating NATO, the EU is told

NATO General Secretaries only speak out publicly when they have already spoken privately to the leaders of Alliance member states - and got nowhere.

In a speech in Brussels on the 6th November Mr De Hoop Scheffer, who has evidently got nowhere in pointing to the absurdity of the EU taking on tasks better suited to NATO, referred to a "deadlock" between the two

organisations.

"It is remarkable how narrow the common agenda of the two institutions remains. We should get away from replicating each other's initiatives", he said, citing irritation between NATO and the EU over help to the Sudanese region of Darfur as a "very unhealthy" example of the EU trying to compete with NATO instead of supporting it.

Where the EU could play a role - as in Afghanistan where according to the

NATO Secretary General there is a need for a civilian role in training of police officers - the EU "was not enough on the radar".

His speech concluded with an appeal for NATO ministers to be more robust in taking such concerns seriously when meeting EU colleagues but pointed out that the official channels designed to resolve problems between the two organisations had stagnated.

You couldn't make it up: No 57

"Whatever the debate on the future political direction of the EU, it is impossible not to accept the hugely beneficial effect that the EU has had on the quality of our lives, our rights and our opportunities", Geoff Hoon, speaking at a conference in London on the 2nd November.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 12 12 65 Fax: 08456 12 12 75 email: eurofacts@junepress.com

Grotesque Unfairness

Dear Sir,
Your article 'Robbing the poor to help the rich' (20th October) demonstrated extensively the endemic cunning and greed of our so-called 'partners', whereby 'how much members get out of the EU depends on their ability to work the system'.

However, you omitted the most extreme Alice in Wonderland example. The Irish republic EU's Prosperity Ranking (World Bank's World Indicators Database July 2005) is shown to have the highest GDP per capita in the EU (some \$46,000) - with Britain at only \$36,000. Yet at the same time Ireland (ignoring the statelet Luxembourg) also received the highest EU subsidy per capita of \$492 (Lords Select Committee Report, *Times* 9th March 2005). So this vaunted rich 'Celtic Tiger' economy was receiving a £1 billion annual subsidy.

Though this is ostensibly from the EU, Britain actually helps pay for this gross anomaly - with our annual contribution, because of Blair's rebate debacle, now preparing to rise to some £10.6 billion net (*eurofacts*, 27th January 2006).

If we can get the facts to them how long will the British people tolerate such grotesque unfairness.

C.FRANCIS WARREN
Lancashire

Why There Is No Debate

Dear Sir,
In your leading article (*eurofacts*, 3rd November 2006) you state that the Tories would oppose a European Constitution that would create a single European superstate. But there is enough in the existing treaties to bring about the desired superstate.

The Tories do NOT believe in the supremacy of the British parliament and so cannot and will not debate the European Union openly and honestly.
D.E. NIXON
Staffordshire

Only in Dreamland

Dear Sir,
"Europe, Europe, Europe", cried David Cameron at Bournemouth, "Better Off Out!" To tumultuous applause Eurosceptic Tory MPs, some fierce, others merely firm, carried him around the conference hall. Then I awoke from a Forsyth-inspired dream.

PETER STUBBINGS
Wales

Is Freddy Being Too Simple?

Dear Sir,
We have "two simple choices" Frederick Forsyth suggests (letters 6th October). Are these choices much *too* simple?

A 'new generation' may have taken over the Tory Party. Does this mean that when the Tories have clawed back "136 seats" everything should come right? And could we expect for example:

- repeal of the 1972 European Community Act saving £7 billion net.
- tax cuts.
- rationalisation of the health system.
- refurbishment of education.

As well as other much-needed measures?

While accepting Mr Forsyth's sincerity, should we not look more closely at his estimate of real politics?

In order to gain a coveted place on the green benches a hopeful politician must get through the selection process successfully. As the Tory rank and file are mostly eurosceptic, a reflection of attitudes amongst the electorate, a prospective candidate would be wise to adopt this stance. Beyond an election, with let's say, the Tories in office, what then? Action on a eurosceptic programme? Not likely.

Getting the feel of red boxes, chauffeured cars and luxury air travel, this new generation are pre-programmed not to rock the boat but to continue from where the Blairite New Labour left off. We need to look no further than the failure to withdraw from the European People's Party to

see the evidence for that. Cries of 'betrayal' would, of course, be of no avail.

It is a pity that real politics are not as simple as the plot of a novel. But there we are, left with a hard eurosceptic track to tramp there is little alternative but to press on.

RALPH MADDERN
Warwickshire

Nothing is Irreversible

Dear Sir,
Frederick Forsyth is persuasive, but his strong desire for the Conservatives to win the next election and then exit from the EU is forlorn.

Whilst history is history, to quote Mr Forsyth, it lingers and cannot be entirely extinguished.

The political reality is that the three main parties are agreed on almost every policy with only semantics separating them; regrettably each wishes to remain in the EU. Thus UKIP is the only mainstream party offering the electorate a different, and thus democratic choice; it follows that we should contest every seat we can.

It is crucial that we remain a party of principle. Irrespective of how 'eurosceptic' Tory MPs claim to be, only Liam Fox of the leadership contenders had the courage to concede that he would consider leaving the EU.

The evidence of the past years is that 'eurosceptic MPs' for the most part will vote according to the party whips. There is no evidence that they will vote to repeal the onerous Single European Act. Without a pledge to vote this out the parading of 'eurosceptic' credentials is irrelevant.

Nothing in the politics of a free democratic society is 'irreversible' if a country is united and determined to regain its independence irrespective of regional arrangements.

Which party forms the next government is irrelevant - each is wedded to the EU. One UKIP MP would, politically, be worth 20 'eurosceptic Tories'.

Dr GUTFREUND-WALMSLEY
Manchester

MEETINGS

Bruges Group
020 7287 4414

Saturday **18th November**,

10.30 am to 6.15 pm

“Developing policies for a post-EU Britain”

Full range of Speakers

INTERNATIONAL ALL DAY
CONFERENCE

The Great Hall, King’s College, The Strand, London

Admission £20

(Includes lunch and refreshments)

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Monday **20th November**, 6.00 pm

“Who Hates Globalisation?”

Michael Mainelli, *Mercers’ School Memorial Professor of Commerce at Gresham College*

Thursday **30th November**, 6.00 pm

“The Little Dictators”

Richard J Evans FBA, *Visiting Gresham Professor of History*

PUBLIC MEETING

Barnard’s Inn Hall, Holburn, London

Admission Free

**United Kingdom
Independence Party**
01425 471752

Friday **24th November**, 7.00 pm

Nigel Farage MEP

CHEESE & WINE EVENING
Bournemouth International Hotel,
Priory Road, Bournemouth

Admission £7 by ticket

(Contact Alan Tallett 01425 471752)

**United Kingdom
Independence Party**
01722 744814

Saturday **14th April 2007**, 7.00 pm

Speakers to be announced

SPRING CONFERENCE

Exeter University, Great Hall, Exeter,
Devon

Admission Free

SELECT COMMITTEES

House of Lords
020-7219 3000

Wednesday **22nd November**, 11.15 am
Evidence will be heard on *The Constitution* from Lord Falconer of Thoroton, the Lord Chancellor, and Secretary of State for Constitutional Affairs.

Wednesday **22nd November**, 11.15 am
Evidence will be heard on *Science and Technology* from witnesses to be confirmed.

Wednesday **22nd November**, 3.15 pm
Evidence will be heard on *Science and Technology* from witnesses to be confirmed.

Wednesday **22nd November**, 4.15 pm
Evidence will be heard on *An EU Competition Court* from witnesses to be confirmed.

Thursday **23rd November**, 10.00 am
Evidence will be heard on *Social Policy and Consumer Affairs* from witnesses to be confirmed.

Wednesday **29th November**, 3.15 pm
Evidence will be heard on *Science and Technology* from witnesses to be confirmed.

Thursday **30th November**, 10.45 am
Evidence will be heard on *Current Developments in European Foreign Policy* from Dr Sarah Beaver, Director General International Security Policy; and Mr Andrew Mathewson, Director for Policy on International Organisations, Ministry of Defence.

*Note:
Committee Meetings can
change from Public to Private
without warning*

USEFUL WEB SITES

British Declaration of Independence

www.bdicampaign.org

British Weights & Measures Assoc.

www.bwmaOnline.com

Bruges Group

www.brugesgroup.com

Campaign Against Euro-Federalism

www.caef.org.uk

Campaign for an Independent Britain

www.cibhq.co.uk

Democracy Movement

www.democracymovement.org.uk

EU Observer

<http://euobserver.com>

European Commission (London)

www.cec.org.uk

European Foundation

www.europeanfoundation.org

European No Campaign

www.europeannocampaign.com

Foreign Affairs

www.foreignaffairs.org

Freedom Association

www.tfa.net

Global Britain

www.globalbritain.org

June Press (Publications)

www.junepress.com

Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign

www.lesc.org.uk

New Alliance

www.newalliance.org.uk

Open Europe

www.openeurope.org.uk

Regional Assemblies

www.regionalassemblies.co.uk

Speak Out Campaign

<http://speakout.co.uk>

Sovereignty

www.sovereignty.org.uk

Statewatch

www.statewatch.org

The People’s “No” Campaign

www.thepeoplesnocampaign.co.uk

United Kingdom Independence Party

www.ukip.org

DIARY OF EVENTS

2007

Germany takes over EU presidency **1st January**

Accession of Bulgaria and Romania to the European Union **1st January**

Berlin Declaration on the Future of Europe **27th March**

French Presidential Election **22nd April**

French Parliamentary Election **June or July**

Portugal takes over EU presidency **1st July**

The European Question and the National Interest

by *Jeremy Black*. **£16.99**

A leading historian's interpretation of Britain's relations with EU/EC/EEC.

A Democratic Europe: An Alternative to the EU

by *Richard Body*. **£10.00**

Sir Richard lays out the case for a truly democratic European Union as opposed to an undemocratic super power.

The Great Deception:

Can the European Union survive

by *Christopher Booker*. **£9.99**

This is the latest version (Nov 2005) of this comprehensive history of the EU.

The Truth They Won't Tell You (And Don't Want You To Know)

About the EU

by *Vernon Coleman*. **£9.99**

A further 269 useful facts you need to know about the development of the EU.

Hard Pounding: The Story Of The UK Independence Party

by *Peter Gardner*. **£9.99**

An inside story of the rise of the UK Independence Party.

Britain and the EU: Time to Move On

by *Christopher Hoskin*. **£3.95**

The EU malaise and the cure.

The Future is a Foreign Country

by *Matthew Illsley*. **£10.00**

Full of useful detail and quotes on how and why we got into the EU mess. It leads to the conclusion that withdrawal from the EU is the only way forward.

Disappearing Britain

The EU and the death of Local Government by *Lindsay Jenkins*. **£14.99**

The detailed Brussels agenda for the break-up of the United Kingdom.

The Missing Heart of Europe

Does Britain hold the key to the future of the Continent?

by *Thomas Kremer*. **£11.99**

Can nation states flourish? A European businessman's view of the impact of divergent national cultures.

The Referendum Roundabout

by *Kieron O'Hara*. **£8.95**

A lively and sharp critique of the role of referendums in modern British politics, includes the 1975 vote on Europe, devolution, and a possible Constitution.

EU: Papacy Reincarnated?

by *Mark Stout*. **£9.99**

This book explores the origins and functioning of both the EU and the Medieval Papacy from a legal/political/historical perspective and unearths a startling number of parallels.

Corruption - The World's Big C

Cases, Causes, Consequences, Cures by *Ian Senior*. **£12.50**

Senior shows how corruption in the EU is becoming worse and why the UK should not sign up to the proposed European Constitution.

The Benefits of Tax Competition

by *Richard Teather*. **£12.50**

Teather argues that EU attempts to harmonise taxes will damage the world economy.

Video

Britain On The Brink

by *Sanity*. DVD or VHS Video **£5.00**

Latest film starring Christopher Booker, Christopher Gill, John Bingley, Lindsay Jenkins, Ian Milne & Vladimir Bukovsky.

Send Payment to

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD
PO BOX 119
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175

Email: info@junepress.com

WEB SALES www.junepress.com

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK ONLY)
20% for Europe 30% Rest of World**

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£28
Europe (Airmail)	£38
Rest of World	£50/\$84
Reduced rate (UK only)	£16
Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.	
Special rates for multiple copies	

Please send me *eurofacts* fortnightly and the occasional briefing papers for the next year.

I enclose my payment of £.....
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Housewives League	020 8445 4848
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	020 8922 0089
Campaign against the Single Currency	07071 663876
CIB	020 8340 0314
Democracy Movement	020 7491 3072
Freedom Association	01746 861267
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7386 1837
Save Britain's Fish	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY PRESSURE GROUPS

Congress for Democracy	01372 453678
-------------------------------	---------------------

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	
Email: globalbritain-1@globalbritain.org	
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rt Hon David Cameron MP	
Democratic Party	01684 891700
Mr Geoff Southall	
Labour	08705 900 200
Rt Hon Tony Blair MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Michael Meadowcroft	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Rt Hon Sir Menzies Campbell MP	
New Britain Party	020 7247 2524
Mr Dennis Delderfield	
UK Independence Party	01626 830630
Nigel Farage MEP	

ISSN 1361-4134

